Regularized 4DEGB and nonminimal coupling gravity

Sunly Khimphun

CQUeST Group II workshop September 28th- October 02nd 2022, Jeju University

Contents

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Regularized 4DEGB and non-minimal coupling
- DSA Scheme
- Results
- Conclusions

Introduction

- Modified Gravity theories
- Novel 4D EGB gravity VS Lovelock's theorem
- Comments on Novel 4D EGB gravity
- Regularized scalar-tensor theory
- Non-minimal coupling

Modified Gravity theories

P. Bull et al. / Physics of the Dark Universe 12 (2016) 56-99



Novel 4D EGB gravity VS Lovelock's theorem¹

¹Glavan D. and Lin C. "Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Gravity in Four-Dimensional Spacetime", Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, no.8, 081301 (2020)

²<u>2012.15219</u> [gr-qc]

Novel 4D EGB gravity VS Lovelock's theorem



Glavan D. and Lin C. "Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Gravity in Four-Dimensional Spacetime", Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, no.8, 081301 (2020)

Comments on Novel 4D EGB gravity: Concerns

- Taking limit VS Discrete Index
- Restricted to EOM level: Boundary Terms? ⇒ Variational Principle?
 - BT does not affect EOM, but must be fixed and finite \Rightarrow

 $S_{Total} = S_{EGB}^{\text{on-shell}} + S_{GH}^{S.T} + S_{G.B}^{S.T}$

S. Mahapatra, Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80:992

Counterterms in D R. Olea, JHEP 0704, 073 (2007)

- ¹Mixed Boundary Condition? $\delta S_{\text{Dir}} = EOM + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M} d^d x \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} T^{ij} \delta g_{(0)ij}, \quad \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g_{(0)}}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{Bndy grav}}}{\delta g_{(0)ij}} + T^{ij} = 0$
- Symmetry Assumptions: Highly Constrained
- Bypass Lovelock's theorem

Doesn't destroy the Theorem

¹G. Compere, D. Marolf, Class. Quant. Grav. 25, 195014 (2008), arXiv: 0805.1902 [hep-th]

Comments on Novel 4D EGB gravity: Regularized scalar-tensor theory

Glavan D. and Lin C. "Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Gravity in Four-Dimensional Spacetime", Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, no.8, 081301 (2020)

$$\begin{split} G_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda &= \alpha \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} &= 15 \delta_{\mu[\nu} R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\rho\sigma} R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha\beta]} \\ \mathcal{H}^{\mu}{}_{\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(D - 4 \right) \mathcal{G} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Only trace is considered} \end{split}$$

Gurses M., T. C. Sisman, B. Tekin, "Is there a novel Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Theory in 4 Dimensions?" 2004.03390 [gr-qc]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} = -2(\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\alpha\beta\sigma}C_{\nu}^{\ \alpha\beta\sigma} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}C_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}C^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \quad \text{Vanish in 4D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} = (D-4)\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{(D-4)(D-3)}{(D-1)(D-2)} \left[-2\frac{(D-1)}{(D-3)}C_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}R^{\rho\sigma} - 2\frac{(D-1)}{(D-2)}R_{\mu\rho}R^{\rho}_{\ \nu} + \frac{D}{(D-2)}R_{\mu\nu}R + \frac{1}{(D-2)}g_{\mu\nu}\left((D-1)R_{\rho\sigma}R^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{(D+2)}{4}R^2\right) \right]$$

Abstract No! We show that the field equations of Einstein– Gauss–Bonner theory defined in generic D > 4 dimensions split into two parts one of which always remains higher dimensional, and hence the theory does not have a non-trivial limit to D = 4. Therefore, the recently introduced fourdimensional, novel, Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet theory does not admit an *intrinsically* four-dimensional definition, in terms of metric only, as such it does not exist in four dimensions. The solutions (the spacetime, the metric) always remain D > 4dimensional. As there is no canonical choice of 4 spacetime dimensions out of D dimensions for generic metrics, the theory is not well defined in four dimensions.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank S. Deser and Y. Pane for useful discussions.

Bianchi Identity can't be satisfied

Comments on Novel 4D EGB gravity: Regularized scalar-tensor theory

P. G.S. Fernandes, P. Carrilho, T. Clifton, D. J. Mulryne, Phys. Rev. D 120, no.2, 024025 (2020)

Regularization in 2D $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\phi}g_{\mu\nu}$ $S = \alpha \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^D x \sqrt{-g}R + S_m - \alpha \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^D x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\tilde{R}$

Regularized action

$$S = \hat{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2 x \sqrt{-g} (\phi R + (\nabla \phi)^2) + S_m$$

Field equations

$$R = \frac{2}{\hat{\alpha}}T \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{\mu}\phi\nabla_{\nu}\phi - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\phi + g_{\mu\nu}\left(\Box\phi - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}}T_{\mu\nu}$$

Regularization in 4D

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^{D}x \sqrt{-g}(R + \alpha \mathcal{G}) + S_{m} - \alpha \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^{D}x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$$

Regularized action

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R + \hat{\alpha} (4G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi - \phi \mathcal{G} + 4\Box \phi (\nabla \phi)^2 + 2(\nabla \phi)^4)] + S_m$$

Horndeski Class of theories (G. W. Horndeski, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 10, 363-384 (1974))

$$G_{\mu
u} = \hat{lpha}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu
u} + T_{\mu
u}$$

 $R + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}\mathcal{G} = -T$ Same as original 4DEGB

- This class is more general than the original one in terms of symmetry
- They are not necessarily equivalent

Hidden Scalar Degree of freedom

Regularized scalar-tensor theory

P. G.S. Fernandes, P. Carrilho, T. Clifton, D. J. Mulryne, Phys. Rev. D 120, no.2, 024025 (2020)

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4 x \sqrt{-g} [R + \hat{\alpha} (4G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi - \phi \mathcal{G} + 4\Box \phi (\nabla \phi)^2 + 2(\nabla \phi)^4)] + S_m$$

H. Lu, Y. Pang, Phys. Lett. B 809 (2020) 135717

$$ds_{D}^{2} = ds_{p}^{2} + e^{2\phi} d\sum_{D-p,\lambda}^{2}, \quad R_{abcd} = \lambda(g_{ac}g_{bd} - g_{ad}g_{bc})$$
• KK reduction, topological GB for $p \leq \Phi$ and scaling $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha/(D-p)$

$$S_{p} = \int d^{p}x \sqrt{-g} \Big[R - 2\Lambda_{0} + \alpha \Big(\phi \operatorname{GB} + 4G^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu}\phi \partial_{\nu}\phi - 2\lambda Re^{-2\phi} - 4(\partial\phi)^{2} \Box \phi \qquad \text{Phys. Rev. D 102, 024029} \\ \xrightarrow{-\frac{\alpha}{16\pi G_{p}} \int d^{p}x \sqrt{-g} CB} added by hand \qquad S_{p} = \int d^{p}x \sqrt{-g} e^{\phi} \Big[R - 2\Lambda_{0} + \alpha \Big(\phi \operatorname{GB} + 4G^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu}\phi \partial_{\nu}\phi - 2\lambda Re^{-2\phi} - 4(\partial\phi)^{2} \Box \phi \qquad \text{Phys. Rev. D 102, 024029} \\ \xrightarrow{-(\partial\phi)^{2}} S = M_{p}^{2} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \Big[\frac{1}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^{2} + \bar{\alpha}(\partial\phi)^{4} \Big] \\ \xrightarrow{+2((\partial\phi)^{2})^{2} - 12\lambda(\partial\phi)^{2}e^{-2\phi} - 6\lambda^{2}e^{-4\phi}} \Big] \qquad \text{Without strong coupling} \\ S_{p} = \int d^{p}x \sqrt{-g} e^{\phi} \Big[R - 2\Lambda_{0} \\ + \alpha \Big(-4G^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu}\phi \partial_{\nu}\phi + 2\lambda Re^{-2\phi} + 2(\partial\phi)^{2} \Box \phi + 4\lambda(\partial\phi)^{2}e^{-2\phi} + 2\lambda^{2}e^{-4\phi}} \Big) \Big] \qquad \alpha \rightarrow \alpha/(D-p-1), p \leq 3 \\ S_{p} = \int d^{p}x \sqrt{-g} e^{2\phi} \Big[R - 2\Lambda_{0} + 2(\partial\phi)^{2} \pm 2\lambda e^{-2\phi} + 2\alpha \Big(2\lambda\phi Re^{-2\phi} - 2(\partial\phi)^{2} \Box \phi \\ -((\partial\phi)^{2})^{2} - 2\lambda(\partial\phi)^{2}e^{-2\phi} - \lambda^{2}e^{-4\phi} \Big) \Big] \qquad \alpha \rightarrow \alpha/(D-p-2), p \leq 2$$

Motivation

- Combination of Regularized Scheme and Non-minimal coupling
- Dynamical Equation and its stability (DSA)?
- Cosmological evolution and observational constraints?

Combination of Regularized Scheme and Non-minimal coupling

$$S = \int d^{D}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}}R - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - V(\phi) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\xi(\phi)\mathcal{G} \right] + S_{m,r}$$

$$\alpha \to \alpha/(D-4), \quad \xi(\phi) \to \xi^{(D-4)}(\phi)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = \kappa^{2} \left(T_{\mu\nu}^{(\phi)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(m,r)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(GB)}\right)$$

$$\Box \phi - V_{\phi} - \frac{\alpha}{2(D-4)} (D-4)\xi^{(D-5)}\xi_{\phi}\mathcal{G} = 0$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(GB)} = \frac{\alpha}{(D-4)} \left\{ \xi^{(D-4)} \left(-4R_{\mu} \,{}^{\alpha}R_{\nu\alpha} + 2RR_{\mu\nu} - 4R^{\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} + 2R_{\mu} \,{}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}R_{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}\right) + (D-4)(D-5)\xi_{\phi}^{2}\xi^{(D-6)} \left[g_{\mu\nu} \left(2R\nabla^{\alpha}\phi\nabla^{\alpha}\phi - 4R_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^{\alpha}\phi\nabla^{\beta}\phi \right) - 4R_{\mu\nu}\nabla^{\alpha}\phi\nabla_{\alpha}\phi} + \dots \right] \right\}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(GB)} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial^{2}} + V + 12a\xi H^{2} + M^{2} + M^$$

Dynamical Equation and its stability (DSA)

Dimensionless Variable

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{\kappa \dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H}, \quad y = \frac{\kappa \sqrt{V}}{\sqrt{3}H}, \quad \alpha_{\rm GB} = \sqrt{\alpha}\kappa H, \quad \mu = -\frac{\sqrt{6}\xi_{\phi}}{\kappa\xi}, \quad \lambda = -\frac{V_{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}\kappa V}, \quad \epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \\ 1 &= \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_{\rm m}}{3H^2} + \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_{\rm r}}{3H^2} + \frac{\kappa^2 \dot{\phi}^2}{6H^2} + \frac{\kappa^2 V}{3H^2} + \frac{4\alpha \kappa^2 \dot{\xi}H}{\xi} + \alpha \kappa^2 H^2 \\ 1 &= \Omega_{\rm m} + \Omega_{\rm r} + \Omega_{\phi}, \\ \Omega_{\rm m} &= \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_{\rm m}}{3H^2}, \\ \Omega_{\rm r} &= \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_{\rm r}}{3H^2}, \\ \Omega_{\phi} &= x^2 + y^2 + \alpha_{\rm GB}^2 - 4x \alpha_{\rm GB}^2 \mu. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(\phi) &= V_0 e^{-\sqrt{6}\kappa\lambda\phi} \\ \overline{\xi(\phi) = \xi_0 e^{-\kappa\mu\phi/\sqrt{6}}} \\ \cdot &\text{Linear stability theory} \\ \cdot &\text{Analyzing the signs of eigenvalues of} \end{aligned}$$

Analyzing the signs of eigenvalues of • Jacobian matrix

Dynamical Equation and its stability (DSA Scheme)

- Linear stability theory
 - Define dimensionless variables
 - Introducing $N = \ln a$ and take derivative WRT the variables
 - Obtain systems equations
 - Analyzing the signs of eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix

Fixed Points

Points	x	y	$\alpha_{ m GB}$	Ω_r	Ω_m	Ω_{ϕ}	Existence	$\omega_{\mathrm{eff}} = \omega_{\phi}$
A_1^{\pm}	$\frac{1}{2\lambda}$	$\pm \frac{1}{2\lambda}$	0	0	$1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}$	$\frac{1}{2\lambda^2}$	$\lambda^2 > 1/2$	0
A_2^{\pm}	$\frac{2}{3\lambda}$	$\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\lambda}$	0	$1-\frac{2}{3\lambda^2}$	0	$\frac{2}{3\lambda^2}$	$\lambda^2 > 2/3$	1/3
A_3^{\pm}	± 1	0	0	0	0	1	$\forall \lambda$	1
A_4	0	0	0	0	1	0	$\forall \lambda$	0
A_5	0	0	0	1	0	0	$\forall \lambda$	1/3
A_6^{\pm}	λ	$\pm \sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$	0	0	0	1	$\lambda^2 < 1$	$-1+2\lambda^2$
A_7^{\pm}	0	$-\sqrt{rac{2\mu}{2\mu-3\lambda}}$	$\pm \sqrt{\frac{3\lambda}{3\lambda - 2\mu}}$	0	0	1	$(\mu \ge 0, \lambda < 0)$ or $(\mu \le 0, \lambda > 0)$	-1
A_8^{\pm}	0	$\sqrt{rac{2\mu}{2\mu-3\lambda}}$	$\pm \sqrt{rac{3\lambda}{3\lambda-2\mu}}$	0	0	1	$(\mu \ge 0, \lambda < 0)$ or $(\mu \le 0, \lambda > 0)$	-1
A_9^{\pm}	$\frac{3-\sqrt{9-80\mu^2}}{20\mu}$	0	$\pm\sqrt{rac{6}{3+\sqrt{9-80\mu^2}}}$	0	0	1	$-\frac{3}{4\sqrt{5}} \le \mu \le \frac{3}{4\sqrt{5}}, \mu \ne 0$	-1
A_{10}^{\pm}	$\frac{3+\sqrt{9-80\mu^2}}{20\mu}$	0	$\pm\sqrt{\frac{9+3\sqrt{9-80\mu^2}}{40\mu^2}}$	0	0	1	$-\tfrac{3}{4\sqrt{5}} \le \mu \le \tfrac{3}{4\sqrt{5}}, \mu \ne 0$	-1







Points	Eigenvalues	Stability
A_1^{\pm}	$\left\{-\frac{3}{2}, -1, -\frac{3}{4}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{4}{\lambda^2}-7}\right), -\frac{3}{4}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{4}{\lambda^2}-7}\right)\right\}$	stable for: $1/2 < \lambda^2 \le 4/7$,
		stable-focus for: $\lambda^2 > 4/7$, saddle for: $\lambda^2 < 1/2$
A_2^{\pm}	$\left\{-2, +1, -\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{32}{3\lambda^2}-15}\right), -\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{32}{3\lambda^2}-15}\right)\right\}$	saddle.
A_3^{\pm}	$\{-3,+3,+2,3\pm 3\lambda\}$	saddle.
A_4	$\left\{-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2},+\frac{3}{2},-1 ight\}$	saddle.
A_5	$\{-2,+2,-1,+1\}$	saddle.
A_6^{\pm}	$\left\{-3\lambda^2,3\left(\lambda^2-1 ight),6\lambda^2-3,6\lambda^2-4 ight\}$	stable for: $0 < \lambda^2 < 1/2$; otherwise saddle.
A_7^{\pm}	$\left\{-4, -3, -\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{32\lambda^2\mu^2-48\lambda^3\mu}{4\mu^2-\lambda^2(9-36\mu^2)}}\right), -\frac{3}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{32\lambda^2\mu^2-48\lambda^3\mu}{4\mu^2-\lambda^2(9-36\mu^2)}}\right)\right\}$	stable for: con_1 ,
A_8^{\pm}	$\left\{-4, -3, -\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{32\lambda^2\mu^2-48\lambda^3\mu}{4\mu^2-\lambda^2(9-36\mu^2)}}\right), -\frac{3}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{32\lambda^2\mu^2-48\lambda^3\mu}{4\mu^2-\lambda^2(9-36\mu^2)}}\right)\right\}$	stable focus for: $\frac{3\lambda^2}{-8\lambda^3+2\sqrt{16\lambda^6+17\lambda^4+\lambda^2}} < \mu < \frac{3\lambda}{2\sqrt{1+9\lambda^2}}$,
		saddle for:
		$\mu > \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{1+9\lambda^2}} \text{ if } \lambda < 0 \text{ or } \mu < -\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{1+9\lambda^2}} \text{ if } \lambda > 0.$
A_9^{\pm}	$\left\{-rac{3\lambda}{20\mu}\left(3-\sqrt{9-80\mu^2} ight),-4,-3,-3 ight\}$	stable for: con_2 ; otherwise saddle.
A_{10}^{\pm}	$\left\{-4, -3, -3, -\frac{3\lambda}{20\mu}\left(3 + \sqrt{9 - 80\mu^2}\right)\right\}$	stable for: con_2 ; otherwise saddle.

$$x = \frac{\kappa \dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H}, \quad y = \frac{\kappa \sqrt{V}}{\sqrt{3}H}, \quad \alpha_{\rm GB} = \sqrt{\alpha}\kappa H, \quad \mu = -\frac{\sqrt{6}\xi_{\phi}}{\kappa\xi}, \quad \lambda = -\frac{V_{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}\kappa V}, \quad \epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$$

Fixed Points

 $\mu = 0.3$ and acceleration if $\lambda < 0.746$ 0.5 $\lambda = 0.1$ 0.0 ----- Ω_m -0.5 -10-15 -10 -5 -10 -5 -15 0 0 0.5 $\lambda = 0.3$ $\Omega_r \quad \cdots \quad \Omega_m \quad ---- \quad \Omega_d$ -0.5 ω_{eff} ---- ω_{ϕ} -10 -15 -15 -10 -5 0 -5 0 0.5 10⁻¹⁰ $\lambda = 0.6$ 10⁻²⁰ ----- Ω_m -0.5 10⁻²⁷ -1.0 $x = \frac{\kappa \dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H}, \quad y = \frac{\kappa \sqrt{V}}{\sqrt{3}H}, \quad \alpha_{\rm GB} = \sqrt{\alpha}\kappa H,$ -10 -15 -10 -15 -5 0 -5 -ln(1+z) -ln(1+z)





Conclusions

- We use a regularization scheme in EDGB gravity and obtain well-defined EoMs
- There exist stable fixed points for GB branch for a preferred potential and coupling function
- No missing cosmological history
- We obtain parameter space for non-minimal coupling function and potential by fitting against the data

Speculations

- It would be interesting to
 - regularize the action
 - consider the linear and second-order perturbation (2004.12998[gr-qc])
 - Early Universe?
 - consider the black hole
 - AdS would be interesting but counterterm in arbitrary D-dim is definitely non-trivial
 - or a regularized action should be considered first and introducing ad hoc counterterm after fixing the dimension
 - Holographic Transports?

Thank You!