

Cosmological perturbations during inflation

– Quantum theory and power spectrum –

Jinn-Ouk Gong (Ewha)

30 Sep, 2022

What we had before was...

- We introduced the comoving curvature perturbation, i.e. the perturbation in the spatial curvature evaluated on the comoving hypersurfaces where $\delta\phi = 0$:

$$\mathcal{R} = \varphi - \frac{H}{\dot{\phi}_0} \delta\phi$$

- We need the equation of motion for \mathcal{R} . How can we derive it? This time, we derive it from the perturbation action

Action for Einstein gravity + minimal scalar

- Our starting point is the action for the Einstein gravity (i.e. Einstein-Hilbert term) and a minimally coupled scalar field:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi) \right]$$

- Since we are working for R eventually, we keep only the scalar metric perturbations A, B, φ and E and the scalar field fluctuations $\delta\phi$. Further, we keep up to linear order in perturbations, the action from which the equation is derived should be 2nd order. Thus, we expand the action up to quadratic order in perturbations

Quadratic action for perturbations

- The detailed steps are given e.g. Mukhanov, Feldman & Brandenberger (1992), Section 10. In terms of the conformal time $d\{\tau\} = a dt$

$$\begin{aligned} S_2^{(s)} = & \int d^4x \frac{m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} a^2 \left[-6\varphi'^2 + 12\mathcal{H}A\varphi' - 2(\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2) A^2 - 2(2A + \varphi)\delta\phi \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \left(\delta\phi'^2 + \delta\phi\Delta\delta\phi - a^2 V_{\phi\phi} \delta\phi^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{2}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \left(-3\phi'_0\varphi'\delta\phi - \phi'_0 A\delta\phi' - a^2 V_\phi A\delta\phi \right) \\ & \left. + 4 \left(\frac{1}{2m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \phi'_0\delta\phi + \varphi' - \mathcal{H}A \right) \Delta(B - E') \right] \end{aligned}$$

Structure of perturbation action

- We can see that φ , $\delta\phi$ and E have time derivatives, while A and B do not. This means A and B are not dynamical and their equations of motion are just **constraints** that should hold all the time
- Thus, we expect φ , $\delta\phi$ and E can be written in the canonical form with Hamiltonian, while A and B multiplied by their constraints:

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{(s)} = \Pi_\varphi \varphi' + \Pi_{\delta\phi} \delta\phi' + \Pi_E E' - \mathfrak{H}^{(s)} - \mathcal{C}_A A - \mathcal{C}_B B$$

- This is the reason why A and B are solved algebraically: By construction they are not dynamical

Conjugate momenta

- We can easily find the conjugate momenta for φ , $\delta\phi$ and E

$$\Pi_{\varphi} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi'} \mathcal{L}_2^{(s)} = \frac{m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} a^2 \left[-12\varphi' + 12\mathcal{H}A - \frac{6}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \phi' \delta\phi + 4\Delta(B - E') \right]$$

$$\Pi_{\delta\phi} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta(\delta\phi')} \mathcal{L}_2^{(s)} = a^2 (\delta\phi' - \phi_0' A)$$

$$\Pi_E \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta E'} \mathcal{L}_2^{(s)} = \frac{m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} a^2 \Delta \left(-4\varphi' + 4\mathcal{H}A - \frac{2}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \phi_0' \delta\phi \right)$$

- Using these, we can replace $B - E'$ and $\delta\phi'$ in terms of momenta, and we end up with the following form:

First-order form with constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_2^{(s)} = & \Pi_\varphi \varphi' + \Pi_{\delta\phi} \delta\phi' + \Pi_E E' \\
 & - \left\{ \frac{1}{2a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \left[-\Pi_\varphi \Delta^{-2} \Pi_E + \frac{3}{2} (\Delta^{-1} \Pi_E)^2 + m_{\text{Pl}}^2 \Pi_{\delta\phi}^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \phi'_0 \Pi_\varphi \delta\phi \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2 \left[\varphi \Delta \varphi - \frac{3}{4m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \phi'_0{}^2 \delta\phi^2 - \frac{1}{2m_{\text{Pl}}^2} (\delta\phi \Delta \delta\phi - a^2 V_{\phi\phi} \delta\phi^2) \right] \right\} \\
 & - [\mathcal{H} \Pi_\varphi + \phi' \Pi_{\delta\phi} + 2a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2 \Delta \varphi + a^2 (3\mathcal{H} \phi'_0 + a^2 V_\phi) \delta\phi] A - \Pi_E B
 \end{aligned}$$

- From $\text{CB} = 0$, E disappears and from $\text{CA} = 0$, we can replace the conjugate momentum of $\delta\phi$ in terms of the others (or vice versa)

First-order form without constraints (1)

- After plugging back the solutions of the constraints and rearrangement, we find the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_2^{(s)} = & \left(\Pi_\varphi + \frac{2a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{\phi_0'} \Delta \delta\phi \right) \left(\varphi - \mathcal{H} \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi_0'} \right)' - \frac{2m_{\text{Pl}}^2 \mathcal{H}}{\phi_0'^2} \left(\Pi_\varphi + \frac{2a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{\phi_0'} \Delta \delta\phi \right) \Delta \left(\varphi - \mathcal{H} \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi_0'} \right) \\ & - \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{2a^2 \phi_0'^2} \left(\Pi_\varphi + \frac{2a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{\phi_0'} \Delta \delta\phi \right)^2 - \frac{2a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{\phi_0'^2} \left[\Delta \left(\varphi - \mathcal{H} \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi_0'} \right) \right]^2 \\ & - a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2 \left(\varphi - \mathcal{H} \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi_0'} \right) \Delta \left(\varphi - \mathcal{H} \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi_0'} \right)\end{aligned}$$

First-order form without constraints (2)

- Finally, we redefine the following variables:

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \varphi - \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\phi'_0} \delta\phi$$
$$\Pi_{\mathcal{R}} \equiv \Pi_{\varphi} + \frac{2a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{\phi'_0} \Delta\delta\phi$$

- Then we end up with the following compact form of the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{(s)} = \Pi_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}' - \underbrace{\left[\frac{2a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{\phi_0'^2} \left(\Delta\mathcal{R} + \frac{\mathcal{H}}{2a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \Pi_{\mathcal{R}} \right)^2 + a^2 m_{\text{Pl}}^2 \mathcal{R} \Delta\mathcal{R} \right]}_{\equiv \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{R}}}$$

Quadratic action for comoving curvature pert

- Thus, the Lagrangian is of the canonical form without constraints, so we are left with a single physical dof: comoving curvature perturbation
- We can rewrite in a more familiar form by eliminating the conjugate momentum in favour of \mathcal{R}' :

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}}{\delta \Pi_{\mathcal{R}}} = \mathcal{R}' \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\mathcal{H}}{2a^2 m_{\text{P1}}^2} \Pi_{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{\phi_0'^2}{2m_{\text{P1}}^2 \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{R}' - \Delta \mathcal{R}$$

$$\therefore S_2^{(s)} = \int d\tau d^3x \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a\phi_0'}{\mathcal{H}} \right)^2 \left[\mathcal{R}'^2 - (\nabla \mathcal{R})^2 \right] = \int dt d^3x a^3 \epsilon m_{\text{P1}}^2 \left[\dot{\mathcal{R}}^2 - \frac{(\nabla \mathcal{R})^2}{a^2} \right]$$

Equation from quadratic action

- Therefore the equation for R follows immediately from the action:

$$\frac{1}{a^3 \epsilon} \frac{d}{dt} \left(a^3 \epsilon \dot{\mathcal{R}} \right) - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \mathcal{R} = 0$$

- Thus, in all 3 approaches, we can end up with the same equation of motion for the comoving curvature perturbation R
- Especially, the action approach provides us a passage to quantum theory of cosmological perturbation R

Harmonic oscillator action

- To proceed further, we introduce the following:

$$z \equiv \frac{a\phi'_0}{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{and} \quad u \equiv z\mathcal{R} = a \left(\delta\phi - \frac{\phi'_0}{\mathcal{H}} \varphi \right)$$

- This transforms the quadratic action for R into the following form:

$$S_2 = \int d^4x \frac{1}{2} \left[u'^2 - (\nabla u)^2 + \frac{z''}{z} u^2 \right]$$

- This action is exactly the same form as that of harmonic oscillator with time-varying frequency, so we can apply the standard wisdom for harmonic oscillator: Promotion to operators satisfying canonical commutation relations!

Decomposition using standard operators (1)

1. Promote the canonical conjugate pair to operators that satisfy the standard equal-time commutation relation:

$$\Pi_u = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta u'} = u' \rightarrow \left[\hat{u}(\tau, \mathbf{x}), \hat{\Pi}_u(\tau, \mathbf{y}) \right] = i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$$

2. Decompose \hat{u} in terms of the creation and annihilation operators that satisfy the standard commutation relations:

$$\hat{u}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \hat{u}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \left[a_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) + a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger u_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\tau) \right]$$

$$\left[a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \right] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}), \text{ otherwise zero}$$

Decomposition using standard operators (2)

3. Plugging the Fourier decomposition into the equal-time commutation relation gives the normalization:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{u}(\tau, \mathbf{x}), \hat{\Pi}_u(\tau, \mathbf{y}) \right] &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \left\{ \left[a_{\mathbf{k}|}, a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \right] u_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{du_{\mathbf{q}}^*}{d\tau} - \left[a_{\mathbf{q}}, a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right] \frac{du_{\mathbf{q}}}{d\tau} u_{\mathbf{k}}^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{q}} \right] u_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{du_{\mathbf{q}}}{d\tau} + \left[a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \right] u_{\mathbf{k}}^* \frac{du_{\mathbf{q}}^*}{d\tau} \right\} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \left(u_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{du_{\mathbf{k}}^*}{d\tau} - \frac{du_{\mathbf{k}}}{d\tau} u_{\mathbf{k}}^* \right) \\ \therefore u_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{du_{\mathbf{k}}^*}{d\tau} - \frac{du_{\mathbf{k}}}{d\tau} u_{\mathbf{k}}^* &= i \end{aligned}$$

Vacuum state

- We worked out the standard commutation relations for operators, but still we need to determine the mode function $u(\tau, k)$. This amounts to fix the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ defined by

$$a_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle_{\text{vac}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } k$$

- Because the background is time-evolving, it is not clear when we define the vacuum (we will be back to this point later). In fact we only have 1 situation where time dependence is not relevant: $k \gg aH$, where frequency becomes time-independent. Then it is straight to compute the mode function that minimizes the Hamiltonian

Mode function in sub-horizon limit (1)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[u'^2 - (\nabla u)^2 \right]$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{\Pi}_u^2 + (\nabla \hat{u})^2 \right]$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{-\mathbf{k}} (\hat{u}'_k{}^2 + k^2 \hat{u}_k^2) + c.c. + \left[2a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} + (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(0) \right] (|\hat{u}'_k|^2 + k^2 |\hat{u}_k|^2) \right\}$$

- Evaluating the expectation value of the Hamiltonian w.r.t. vacuum $|0\rangle_0$ at some very early times τ_0 :

$${}_0\langle 0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | 0 \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3k \delta^{(3)}(0) (|\hat{u}'_k|^2 + k^2 |\hat{u}_k|^2)$$

Mode function in sub-horizon limit (2)

- Since deep inside the horizon the solution is a plane wave, we assume

$$u_k = \psi_k e^{i\theta_k} \text{ with } -2i\psi_k^2 \theta'_k = i \text{ (from normalization)}$$

- Then the expectation value of the Hamiltonian becomes

$$|\widehat{u}'_k|^2 + k^2 |\widehat{u}_k|^2 = \psi_k'^2 + k^2 \psi_k^2 + \frac{1}{4\psi_k^2}$$

- This is minimized when ψ_k and θ_k are given by

$$\psi_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \text{ and } \theta_k = -k\tau \rightarrow u_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} e^{-ik\tau}$$

Massless scalar
field solution!

Mode function at some other time

- This mode function (or vacuum) does not remain valid, since the frequency does not remain simply k all the time. The Hamiltonian is time-dependent, thus the mode function /vacuum that minimizes it also varies
- Let $\tau_1 > \tau_0$, and the Fourier expansion i.t.o. new operators and mode function:

$$\hat{u}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) = b_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) + b_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger v_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\tau) \quad \text{with} \quad b_{\mathbf{k}} |0\rangle_1 = 0$$

Bogoliubov transformation

- In general, the new mode function v_k is related to another u_k via a linear transformation, “**Bogoliubov transformation**”:

$$v_k = \alpha_k u_k + \beta_k u_k^* \quad \text{with} \quad |\alpha_k|^2 - |\beta_k|^2 = 1$$

- Thus, the vacuum state does not remain the same:

$$|0\rangle_0 \neq |0\rangle_1$$

Generation of perturbations

- Let's consider the expectation value of the number operator of the b-particle w.r.t. τ_0 :

$${}_0\langle 0 | N_k^{(b)} | 0 \rangle_0 = {}_0\langle 0 | \left(\alpha_k a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - \beta_k a_{-\mathbf{k}} \right) \left(\alpha_k^* a_{\mathbf{k}} - \beta_k^* a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right) | 0 \rangle_0 = (2\pi)^3 |\beta_k|^2 \delta^{(3)}(0)$$

- That is, even if we have started from vacuum at τ_0 , at a later time τ_1 we find that the vacuum at τ_0 contains a non-vanishing number of b-particles

We have something out of nothing

General mode function equation

- Now let us return to the quadratic action for u : The equation of motion for the mode function is

$$u_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{z''}{z} \right) u_k = 0$$

- Here, the time-dependent part of the frequency z''/z is **exactly** given:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z''}{z} &= 2a^2 H^2 \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\eta - \frac{\epsilon\eta}{4} + \frac{\eta^2}{8} + \frac{\dot{\eta}}{4H} \right) \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{eta} = \text{dot}\{H\}/(\text{Hepsilon}), \\ \text{another slow-roll parameter} \end{array} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left(\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad \nu^2 = \frac{9}{4} + 3\epsilon + \frac{3}{2}\eta + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Asymptotic behavior of mode function

- We can think of 2 limiting cases for the frequency: Either k^2 is dominant over $z''/z \sim (aH)^2$ or the other way round

$$u_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{z''}{z} \right) u_k \longrightarrow \begin{cases} u_k'' + k^2 u_k = 0 & \text{for } k \gg aH \\ u_k'' - \frac{z''}{z} u_k = 0 & \text{for } k \ll aH \end{cases}$$

- k versus aH : Is the typical length scale for a mode $1/k$ much smaller or larger than the comoving Hubble horizon $1/(aH)$? Super- ($k \ll aH$) or sub- ($k \gg aH$) horizon limits
- For sub-horizon mode, the solution is a plane wave (we have seen!)
- For super-horizon mode, u is proportional to z . What does this mean?

Constancy of comoving curvature pert

- On super-horizon scales, the proportionality of u to z means:

$$\mathcal{R}_k = \frac{u_k}{z} = \text{constant}$$

- Thus, R (in the Fourier mode) is **conserved**
- This is another reason why we consider R : it is conserved on very large scales during inflation, until it reenters the horizon after inflation (other perturbations, e.g. $\delta\phi$, keep evolving on super-horizon)

General solution of mode function

- What is the mode function solution, with the given normalization condition and boundary condition?
- The general solution can be written i.t.o. Bessel functions, but for later convenience we use Hankel functions:

$$u_k(\tau) = \sqrt{-\tau} \left[c_1(k) H_\nu^{(1)}(-k\tau) + c_2(k) H_\nu^{(2)}(-k\tau) \right]$$

(Here ν is assumed to be constant)

- To fix the coefficients c_1 and c_2 , we consider the boundary condition at $\tau = \tau_0$ where we find the plane wave solution for $k \gg z''/z$

Fixing coefficients and correct solution

- For very large argument ($-k\tau \sim k/aH \gg 1$)

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) \xrightarrow{z \gg 1} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} e^{i(z - \pi\nu/2 - \pi/4)}$$

$$\therefore c_1(k) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{i(\nu+1/2)\pi/2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2(k) = 0$$

- Especially, for $\nu = 3/2$, i.e. perfect de Sitter case, the solution is the 1st kind of Hankel function with order 3/2:

$$u_k(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(1 - \frac{i}{k\tau} \right) e^{-ik\tau}$$

Power spectrum of comoving curvature pert

- The power spectrum, or the Fourier transform of the 2-pt correlation function, is defined by (expectation value w.r.t. vacuum state)

$$\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$$

- Using $u = zR$, the power spectrum is written as the mode function:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \left| \frac{u_k}{z} \right|^2$$

Evaluating the power spectrum

- Since $R(k)$ is time-dependent, so is the power spectrum. Then when should we evaluate the power spectrum?
- R becomes constant when $k \gg aH$, or $-k \tau \rightarrow 0$ and maintains its value until the end of inflation. Thus, we evaluate the spectrum then

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) \xrightarrow{z \ll 1} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-i\pi/2} 2^{\nu-3/2} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(3/2)} z^{-\nu}$$

alpha = 2 - log2 - EulerGamma = 0.729637...

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) &= \lim_{-k\tau \rightarrow 0} 2^{2\nu-3} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(3/2)} \right]^2 (1 + \epsilon)^{1-2\nu} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{aH} \right)^{3-2\nu} \\ &= \lim_{-k\tau \rightarrow 0} [1 + 2(\alpha - 1)\epsilon + \alpha\eta] \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{aH} \right)^{3-2\nu} \end{aligned}$$

1. Amplitude of power spectrum

1. The spectrum can be evaluated at any time after “horizon crossing” $k = aH$, but usually it is evaluated at the moment of horizon crossing. Then, up to 0th order in slow-roll parameters (i.e. leading order)

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}_0} \right)^2 \Bigg|_{k=aH}$$

This is the celebrated result for the inflationary power spectrum!

2. Tilt of power spectrum

- Another important property of the power spectrum is how it scales on different scales, e.g. if it has larger amplitudes on larger or smaller length scales. As a simple ansatz we take a power-law form:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \propto k^{n_{\mathcal{R}}-1} \quad \text{“Spectral index”}$$

$$\therefore n_{\mathcal{R}} - 1 \equiv \frac{d \log \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}}{d \log k} = 3 - 2\nu = -2\epsilon - \eta|_{k=aH}$$

Observational constraints

- Most recent observational efforts by Planck says, on the reference scale $k = 0.05/\text{Mpc}$, the amplitude of the power spectrum $A_{\mathcal{R}}$ and the spectral index are constrained by

$$A_{\mathcal{R}} = 2.0968^{+0.0296}_{-0.0292} \times 10^{-9}$$

$$n_{\mathcal{R}} = 0.9652 \pm 0.0042$$